

NACZELNY SĄD ADMINISTRACYJNY

ZESZYTY NAUKOWE

Sądownictwa

_____ Administracyjnego _____

dwumiesięcznik

rok XVII nr 3–4 (96–97)/2021
Warszawa 2021

WYDAWCA
Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny

KOMITET REDAKCYJNY

REDAKCJA

REDAKTOR NACZELNY prof. dr hab. Janusz Trzcíński
ZASTĘPCA REDAKTORA NACZELNEGO prof. dr hab. Andrzej Gomułowicz
SEKRETARZ REDAKCJI mgr Małgorzata Sawicka-Jezierczuk (e-mail: msawicka@nsa.gov.pl)

RADA PROGRAMOWA

CZŁONKOWIE

prof. dr hab. Barbara Adamiak, dr Stefan Babiarczyk, prof. dr hab. Wojciech Chróścielewski,
dr hab. Jacek Chlebny, mgr Irena Chojnacka, prof. dr hab. Roman Hauser,
dr Andrzej Kisielewicz, prof. dr hab. Andrzej Skoczylas, sędzia NSA Maria Wiśniewska,
prof. dr hab. Zbigniew Witkowski, prof. dr hab. Andrzej Wróbel,
prof. dr hab. Marek Zirk-Sadowski

MIĘDZYNARODOWI CZŁONKOWIE

Dr., Dr. h.c. mult. Eckart Hien, Dr. Univ.-Prof., Clemens Jabloner,
Prof. JUDr Jan Filip, Sędzia Olof Olsson, Prof. Dr. Dr.h.c.mult. Rainer Arnold,
Prof. dr Georges Ravarani, Prof. dr hab. Virgilijus Valančius

*

redaktor tematyczny prof. dr hab. Wojciech Piątek
redaktor językowy mgr Justyna Woldańska
redaktor statystyczny dr Michał Szwał

ADRES REDAKCJI

Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny
00-011 Warszawa, ul. G.P. Boduena 3/5
tel. 22 551-67-25, e-mail: msawicka@nsa.gov.pl; www.nsa.gov.pl/zeszyty-naukowe.php

© Copyright by Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny
Warszawa 2021

ISSN 1734-803X
Nr indeksu 204358

Liczba punktów za publikację wynosi 20.

Wersją podstawową (referencyjną) czasopisma jest wersja papierowa.



Wolters Kluwer

Wolters Kluwer Polska Sp. z o.o.
01-208 Warszawa, ul. Przyokopowa 33
www.wolterskluwer.pl

Dyrektor Działu Publikacji Periodycznych: Klaudia Szawłowska-Milczarek
klaudia.szawłowska@wolterskluwer.com

Szczegółowe informacje o prenumeracie czasopism można uzyskać
pod numerem infolinii: tel. 801 044 545, prenumerata@wolterskluwer.pl
Obsługa Klienta: tel. 22 535 82 72, księgarnia internetowa: www.profinfo.pl

Skład i łamanie: Andytex, Warszawa
Druk ukończono w sierpniu 2021 roku. Nakład 1000 egz.

SPIS TREŚCI

Table of contents	6
-------------------------	---

STUDIA I MATERIAŁY

Zasady ogólne prawa podatkowego w orzecznictwie sądów administracyjnych

Wstęp: Znaczenie zasad ogólnych dla wykładni i stosowania przepisów prawa podatkowego (sędzia NSA Jan Rudowski, Prezes Izby Finansowej Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego)	9
--	---

<i>Dr hab. Bartosz Wojciechowski (profesor Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego)</i>	
Teoretycznoprawne założenia roli zasad prawnych w procesie stosowania prawa	19
Summary	33

<i>Prof. dr hab. Andrzej Gomułowicz (Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu)</i>	
Sędzia sądu administracyjnego a zasada sprawiedliwości podatkowej	34
Summary	53

<i>Dr hab. Artur Mudrecki (profesor Akademii Leona Koźmińskiego w Warszawie)</i>	
Zasada legalizmu – czy tylko zasada postępowania podatkowego?	54
Summary	62

<i>Sędzia WSA Sylwester Golec (sędzia Wojewódzkiego Sądu Administracyjnego w Warszawie)</i>	
Zasada proporcjonalności jako podstawa orzeczenia sądu administracyjnego w sprawie dotyczącej zobowiązań podatkowych	63
Summary	85

<i>Dr Stanisław Bogucki (sędzia Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego)</i>	
Zasada <i>in dubio pro tributario</i> po jej normatywizacji	86
Summary	102

<i>Sędzia WSA Wojciech Stachurski (sędzia Wojewódzkiego Sądu Administracyjnego w Białymstoku)</i>	
Znaczenie procesowe zasady prawdy obiektywnej w kształtowaniu ciężaru dowodu w postępowaniu podatkowym	103
Summary	113

<i>Sędzia NSA Małgorzata Niezgódka-Medek (sędzia Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego)</i>	
Ograniczenia w realizacji zasady prawdy obiektywnej z uwagi na sprzeczność dowodu z prawem	114
Summary	127

Dr hab. Agnieszka Krawczyk (profesor Uniwersytetu Łódzkiego)

Naruszenie zasady czynnego udziału strony w postępowaniu podatkowym oraz sądowa ocena tego naruszenia	128
Summary	137

Dr hab. Andrzej Niezgoda (adiunkt, Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej w Lublinie)

Sądowa kontrola decyzji organów podatkowych w sprawach ulg opartych na uznaniu administracyjnym	138
Summary	150

Sędzia NSA Bogusław Dauter (sędzia Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego)

Instytucje procesowe zapewniające realizację zasady przekonywania stron	152
Summary	162

Sędzia NSA Adam Bącal (sędzia Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego)

Mgr Joanna Tararuj-Młynik (asystent sędziego, Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny)

Zasada zaufania i zasada ochrony uprawnionych oczekiwań w prawie podatkowym	163
Summary	171

Mgr Joanna Tararuj-Młynik (asystent sędziego, Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny)

Podstawowe zasady VAT – znaczenie praktyczne dla wykładni przepisów	172
Summary	191

Sędzia WSA Włodzimierz Gurba (sędzia Wojewódzkiego Sądu Administracyjnego w Warszawie)

Sposób ujęcia zasad ogólnych prawa podatkowego w projekcie nowej Ordynacji podatkowej	192
Summary	210

ORZECZNICTWO

I. Trybunał Sprawiedliwości Unii Europejskiej (wybór i opracowanie: <i>prof. Andrzej Wróbel, dr Piotr Wróbel</i>)	
Odesłanie prejudycjalne – Kontrole graniczne, azyl i imigracja – Polityka wizowa – Konwencja wykonawcza do układu z Schengen – Artykuł 21 ust. 2a – Karta praw podstawowych – Artykuł 47 – Prawo do skutecznego środka prawnego – Odmowa wydania przez konsula wizy długoterminowej – Obowiązek zagwarantowania przez państwo członkowskie środka prawnego przed sądem od decyzji o odmowie wydania takiej wizy	
Wyrok TS z 10 marca 2021 r. w sprawie C-949/19 M.A., ECLI:EU:C:2021:186	211
II. Europejski Trybunał Praw Człowieka (wybór i opracowanie: <i>dr Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>)	
Nadzór niezależnego organu sądowego jako warunek skuteczności ochrony przed arbitralnością ze strony władzy wykonawczej	
Wyrok ETPC z dnia 9 marca 2021 r. w sprawie <i>Bilgen przeciwko Turcji</i> (skarga nr 1571/07)	220
III. Trybunał Konstytucyjny (wybór: <i>mgr Irena Chojnacka</i>, opracowanie: <i>mgr Mieszko Nowicki</i>)	
Wyrok TK z dnia 15 grudnia 2020 r. (sygn. akt SK 12/20) [dot. prawa do sądu]	232
IV. Sąd Najwyższy (wybór i opracowanie: <i>dr Michalina Szpyrka</i>)	
Wyrok SN z dnia 5 listopada 2020 r. (sygn. akt II CSK 808/18) [dot. wpływu złożenia skargi do sądu administracyjnego na ostateczną decyzję stwierdzającą nieważność decyzji wydanej z naruszeniem prawa na bieg przedawnienia roszczenia odszkodowawczego]	239

V. Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny i wojewódzkie sądy administracyjne	
A. Orzecznictwo Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego (wybór: <i>dr Stefan Babiarz</i> , opracowanie: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiącek</i>)	
Uchwała składu siedmiu sędziów NSA z dnia 24 maja 2021 r. (sygn. akt II FPS 1/21) [dot. kwalifikacji przychodów z najmu na potrzeby podatku dochodowego od osób fizycznych]	243
B. Orzecznictwo wojewódzkich sądów administracyjnych (wybór: <i>prof. Andrzej Gomułowicz</i> , opracowanie: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiącek</i>)	
1. Wyrok WSA w Łodzi z dnia 8 listopada 2017 r. (sygn. akt I SA/Łd 747/17) [dot. kary porządkowej za niestawiennictwo świadka w postępowaniu podatkowym] ...	250
2. Wyrok WSA w Lublinie z dnia 11 grudnia 2019 r. (sygn. akt III SA/Lu 354/19) [dot. kary pieniężnej za zakłócanie prawidłowego funkcjonowania tachografu]	252
3. Wyrok WSA w Warszawie z dnia 15 maja 2019 r. (sygn. akt I SA/Wa 12/19) [dot. przesłanki domicylu uprawniającej do tzw. rekompensaty zabużańskiej]	254
4. Wyrok WSA w Łodzi z dnia 5 lutego 2020 r. (sygn. akt I SA/Łd 757/19) [dot. warunków zwolnienia gruntów i budynków wpisanych do rejestru zabytków z podatku od nieruchomości]	258
5. Wyrok WSA w Krakowie z dnia 4 sierpnia 2020 r. (sygn. akt III SA/Kr 196/20) [dot. kontroli legalności statutu sołectwa]	260

KRONIKA

Kalendarium sądownictwa administracyjnego (listopad 2020 r. – kwiecień 2021 r.) (opracowała: <i>dr hab. Anna Rossmannith</i>)	267
--	-----

BIBLIOGRAFIA

(opracowała: *mgr Marta Jaszczyk*)

Publikacje z zakresu postępowania administracyjnego i sądowniczoadministracyjnego (listopad–grudzień 2020 r.)	279
Publikacje z zakresu postępowania administracyjnego i sądowniczoadministracyjnego (styczeń–luty 2021 r.)	286
Publikacje z zakresu postępowania administracyjnego i sądowniczoadministracyjnego (marzec–kwiecień 2021 r.)	295

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STUDIES AND MATERIALS

General principles of tax law in administrative courts' case law

Introduction: Relevance of general principles in the interpretation and application of tax law (<i>Jan Rudowski, Supreme Administrative Court Judge, President of the Financial Chamber of the Supreme Administrative Court</i>)	9
<i>Dr hab. Bartosz Wojciechowski (Professor, University of Łódź)</i>	
Theoretical and legal assumptions of the role of legal principles in the process of applying law	19
Summary	33
<i>Prof. dr hab. Andrzej Gomułowicz (Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań)</i>	
Administrative court judge vs principle of tax justice	34
Summary	53
<i>Dr hab. Artur Mudrecki (Professor, Koźmiński University in Warsaw)</i>	
Principle of legalism – is it merely a principle of tax proceedings?	54
Summary	62
<i>Sylwester Golec, Voivodship Administrative Court Judge (Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw)</i>	
Principle of proportionality as a basis for an administrative court ruling in a tax liability case	63
Summary	85
<i>Dr Stanisław Bogucki (Supreme Administrative Court Judge)</i>	
In dubio pro tributario principle after being introduced into the legal system	86
Summary	102
<i>Wojciech Stachurski, Voivodship Administrative Court Judge (Voivodship Administrative Court in Białystok)</i>	
Procedural significance of the principle of objective truth in shaping the burden of proof in tax proceedings	103
Summary	113
<i>Małgorzata Niezgódka-Medek (Supreme Administrative Court Judge)</i>	
Limitations on the implementation of the principle of objective truth due to evidence being unlawful	114
Summary	127

Dr hab. Agnieszka Krawczyk (Professor, University of Łódź)

Violation of the principle of active participation of a party in tax proceedings and its judicial assessment	128
Summary	137

Dr hab. Andrzej Niezgoda (Assistant Professor, Maria Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin)

Judicial review of tax authorities' relief decisions on the basis of administrative discretion	138
Summary	150

Bogusław Dauter (Supreme Administrative Court Judge)

Procedural institutions ensuring the implementation of the principle of providing parties with solid arguments	152
Summary	162

Adam Bącal (Supreme Administrative Court Judge)

Mgr Joanna Tararuj-Młynik, (Judge's Assistant, Supreme Administrative Court)

Principle of trust and principle of protection of legitimate expectations in tax law	163
Summary	171

Mgr Joanna Tararuj-Młynik (Judge's Assistant, Supreme Administrative Court)

Basic VAT principles – practical implications for interpretation of the provisions	172
Summary	191

Włodzimierz Gurba, Voivodship Administrative Court Judge (Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw)

The way the general principles of tax law are presented in the draft of the new Tax Ordinance	192
Summary	210

CASE LAW

I. Court of Justice of the European Union (selected and compiled by: <i>prof. Andrzej Wróbel</i> and <i>dr Piotr Wróbel</i>)	
Reference for a preliminary ruling – Border checks, asylum and immigration – Visa policy – Convention implementing the Schengen Agreement – Article 21(2a) – Charter of Fundamental Rights – Article 47 – Right to an effective remedy – Refusal by a consul to issue a long-term visa – Obligation of a Member State to guarantee a remedy before the courts against a decision to refuse such a visa	
CJEU judgment of 10 March 2021 in C-949/19 <i>M.A.</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2021:186	211
II. European Court of Human Rights (selected and compiled by: <i>dr Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>)	
Supervision by an independent judicial authority as a condition for effective protection against arbitrariness by the executive	
ECtHR judgment of 9 March 2021 in <i>Bilgen v. Turkey</i> (Case No. 1571/07)	220
III. Constitutional Tribunal (selected by: <i>mgr Irena Chojnacka</i> , compiled by: <i>mgr Mieszko Nowicki</i>)	
Constitutional Tribunal judgment of 15 December 2020 (Case No. SK 12/20) [concerning the right to court]	232
IV. Supreme Court (selected and compiled by: <i>dr Michalina Szpyrka</i>)	
Supreme Court judgment of 5 November 2020 (Case No. II CSK 808/18) [regarding the impact of filing an appeal with an administrative court against a final administrative	

decision confirming the invalidity of a decision issued in violation of law on the running of the statute of limitations for a compensation claim]	239
V. Supreme Administrative Court and voivodship administrative courts	
A. Case law of the Supreme Administrative Court (selected by: <i>dr Stefan Babiarczy</i> , compiled by: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiqcek</i>)	
Resolution of a seven-judge panel of the Supreme Administrative Court of 24 May 2021 (Case No. II FPS 1/21) [regarding the qualification of lease revenues for the purposes of personal income tax]	243
B. Case law of voivodship administrative courts (selected by: <i>prof. Andrzej Gomułowicz</i> , compiled by: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiqcek</i>)	
1. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Łódź of 8 November 2017 (Case No. I SA/Łd 747/17) [regarding a non-compliance penalty for witness's failure to appear in tax proceedings]	250
2. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Lublin of 11 December 2019 (Case No. III SA/Lu 354/19) [regarding a fine for interfering with the proper functioning of a tachograph]	252
3. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw of 15 May 2019 (Case No. I SA/Wa 12/19) [regarding the premise of domicile entitling to compensation for the property abandoned beyond the Bug River]	254
4. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Łódź of 5 February 2020 (Case No. I SA/Łd 757/19) [regarding conditions of exemption of land and buildings entered in the register of monuments from real property tax]	258
5. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Kraków of 4 August 2020 (Case No. III SA/Kr 196/20) [regarding review of the legality of statutes of the village administration]	260

CHRONICLES

Chronicles of the administrative judiciary (November 2020–April 2021) (compiled by: <i>dr hab. Anna Rossmannith</i>)	267
--	-----

BIBLIOGRAPHY

(compiled by: *mgr Marta Jaszczuk*)

Publications in the field of administrative proceedings and administrative court proceedings (November–December 2020)	279
Publications in the field of administrative proceedings and administrative court proceedings (January–February 2021)	286
Publications in the field of administrative proceedings and administrative court proceedings (March–April 2021)	295

Summary

of the article: **Theoretical and legal assumptions of the role of legal principles in the process of applying law**

This article is dedicated to the concept of legal principles interpreted as a supplementation to the traditionally understood positive law consisting only of legal rules. The role of legal principles indicates the indispensable relationship between law and morality, which is manifested by referring through them to the values underlying a given society. The debated concept of principles is replenished with the requirement of balancing them in the adjudication process, resulting from their relative importance in resolving the disputed case.

Keywords: legal principles, legal discourse, moral discourse, legal rule, weighing of principles, application of law

Summary

of the article: **Administrative court judge vs principle of tax justice**

The application of law in accordance with the principles of *aequitas*, *veritas* and *iustitia* is the canon of the legal culture of judges. This holds true since the administration of justice depends not only on the content of the law, but above all on the judge's attitude, and the judge's attitude in the process of interpreting and applying the law is affected by a specific legal culture, as well as the ways of attributing valuing that are accepted and approved in the judicial community. It therefore happens that justice *in concreto* in the judicial application of law is an objection to that law which, as the legislator intends, has the element of *iniuria*. Thus, in the process of applying the law, equity is inherently related to the requirement to issue an appropriate (fair) individual decision. It is always a question of the appropriateness of a particular decision, which may be an improvement of the enacted law in the sense of its practical concreteness. The duty to decide cases taking the above into account arises not only from the very idea of law (*ius*), but also from the function that the judge must perform. In view of this, a judge, for the sake of the universal constitutional value that the principle of justice has, not only may but should in certain special cases depart from the literal wording of the provision. A judge can do so to give the law, in the process of its interpretation and application, an equitable content. The duty of a judge to decide difficult cases in a morally responsible manner and in accordance with constitutional values is warranted by the constitutionally established principles of judicial power (Articles 10, 173, 175(1), 178, 186(1) of the Polish Constitution). The judge's power in non-standard cases also involves 'reviewing the acts of Parliament' since the judge's fidelity and loyalty to the Constitution requires a judge to oppose the law that becomes its opposite. Such jurisprudential trend in the administrative judiciary also applies to tax issues.

Keywords: *ius vs lex*, principle of equity, principle of justice in administrative court decisions, judicial independence, value of law, direct application of the Constitution, importance of the constitutional principle of the tripartite division of powers

Summary

of the article: **Principle of legalism – is it merely a principle of tax proceedings?**

The principle of legalism plays an important role in tax law. It is an extremely important instrument in protecting taxpayer's rights. The considerations presented hereinabove allow us to assume that the question posed in the title should be answered negatively. However, the main research hypothesis that the principle in question not only applies in tax proceedings, but is also used in the application of substantive tax law was confirmed. This view is unambiguously supported by tax law scholars.

In its numerous rulings, the Supreme Administrative Court has interpreted the provisions of procedural law and substantive tax law on the basis of the principle of legalism. Those rulings in which the principle of legalism affects the interpretation of legal provisions in correlation with other general principles are particularly interesting. Also, the Court of Justice of the European Union, when interpreting legal provisions, refers to the principle of legalism, which it calls a 'a general principle of European Union law'.

Keywords: principles of law, principle of legalism, case law of the Court of Justice of the European Union, case law of the Supreme Administrative Court

Summary

of the article: **Principle of proportionality as a basis for an administrative court ruling in a tax liability case**

The principle of proportionality is a general principle of law that limits the legislature's discretion in choosing the spheres of social life that are subject to legal regulation. This principle is present in Polish law; it is also a constitutional principle of the European Union. In both national and EU terms, it applies to law-making and applying. The substance of this principle is determined by three requirements: adequacy, necessity and proportionality *sensu stricto*. A legal instrument meets the proportionality test when it jointly meets all three – and not just some – of the requirements defining the principle of proportionality. This means that the proportionality test fails even if one of these requirements is not met. This principle is relied upon by administrative courts when verifying the lawfulness of law application by public administration authorities, among others in tax cases.

Keywords: general principles of law, principle of proportionality, criterion of adequacy, criterion of necessity, criterion of proportionality *sensu stricto*

Summary

of the article: *In dubio pro tributario* principle after being introduced into the legal system

The article concerns the understanding of *in dubio pro tributario* principle as introduced in Article 2a of the Act of 29 August 1997 – Tax Ordinance. The result of the principle having been introduced into the legal system is, in particular, that as an element of the legal text, the provision of Article 2a of the Tax Ordinance is itself subject to interpretation. The legislator has decided to restrict the applicability of Article 2a of the Tax Ordinance exclusively to the provisions of tax law, i.e. to substantive tax law only. Article 2a of the Tax Ordinance provides for three conditions which, if met jointly, enable application of the principle set out therein: first, doubts must arise as to the content of the tax law regulations; second, such doubts must be of a specific nature, which the provision describes as ‘irremovable’; third, the consequences for the taxpayer resulting from competing understandings of the unambiguous provision must differ in terms of ‘benefits’.

Keywords: *in dubio pro tributario* principle, Tax Ordinance, tax authorities, administrative courts

Summary

of the article: **Procedural significance of the principle of objective truth in shaping the burden of proof in tax proceedings**

The article seeks to answer the question whether and to what extent the principle of objective truth determines the burden of proof in tax proceedings. The author also poses a question whether there is any burden of proof in tax proceedings. While attempting to answer them, he confronts the views presented by scholars and case law.

Keywords: burden of proof, tax proceedings, principle of objective truth

Summary

of the article: **Limitations on the implementation of the principle of objective truth due to evidence being unlawful**

The article focusses on the issue of limitations on the use of unlawful evidence in tax evidentiary proceedings. The issue has been addressed in historical, constitutional, intersectional, and comparative law aspects. When looking at the examples derived from case law of administrative courts, it is possible to observe a trend in the change in the approach of administrative courts – from a liberal to more restrictive one – in enforcing this constitutional requirement for tax authorities to act on the basis and within the limits of law.

Keywords: Tax Ordinance, tax proceedings, evidence, unlawfulness of evidence

Summary

of the article: **Violation of the principle of active participation of a party in tax proceedings and its judicial assessment**

The article addresses the issue of qualifying, in the case law of administrative courts, the consequences of violation of the principle of active participation of a party in tax proceedings, with particular emphasis on its aspect concerning a party's right to express its opinion on the evidence gathered in the case before a tax decision is issued. The author positively evaluates the view presented in the case law with regard to the necessity to distinguish between the consequences of violation of a party's right to active participation in proceedings and those of violation of the right to express an opinion on the outcome of such proceedings. She demonstrates that the latter is not an objective in itself, but rather a tool to achieve the objective of ensuring a party's right to participate in proceedings, which essentially boils down to a party's right to participate in evidence gathering. According to the author, it is only in this context, and not in isolation from it, that the consequences of violation of Article 200 § 1 of the Tax Ordinance in tax proceedings should be assessed.

Keywords: right to a hearing, principle of active participation of a party in tax proceedings, right of a party to express an opinion on evidence gathered, judicial review of a tax decision, party to tax proceedings

Summary

of the article: **Judicial review of tax authorities' relief decisions on the basis of administrative discretion**

The article addresses the issue of limits of judicial review of discretionary decisions regarding reliefs in repayment of tax liabilities on the basis of Polish legal regulations and case law of administrative courts. It can be noted that despite the presence of the issue of administrative discretion in scientific literature, the issue of limits of judicial review of discretionary decisions still raises some doubts in the jurisprudence practice of administrative courts. Therefore, it is appropriate to analyse the main theses formulated by scholars and in the judicial and administrative jurisprudence with regard to the issue, from the perspective of the limits of judicial review of discretionary decisions. The thesis of the article is the assumption that the nature of discretionary decisions aimed at applying relief in the repayment of tax liabilities, determined by the function of administrative discretion, and, at the same time, the criteria set out in the law for judicial review of public administration acts, limit the role of the administrative court to verifying compliance with procedural law of tax proceedings preceding the issue of such a decision and the respect by tax authorities of the fundamental constitutional values of the legal system. They set out a framework of administrative discretion within which the choice of one of the potential outcomes remains beyond the judicial review of the administration. *De lege lata*, therefore, there are no grounds for administrative courts, provided that tax authorities respect the fundamental constitutional values of the legal system, to formulate judgments as to the circumstances and reasons justifying the granting or refusal to grant a tax relief or its scope. The concept of internal and external limits of discretion may be useful in this respect for administrative court decisions.

Keywords: discretionary decisions; limits of judicial review of discretionary decisions; directives for choosing legal consequences

Summary

of the article: **Procedural institutions ensuring the implementation of the principle of providing solid arguments**

The principle of providing solid arguments serves to assist the parties in understanding unclear and complex tax laws and the rulings issued thereunder. Once they are clarified to participants in tax proceedings, this may be helpful in limiting the number of disputes with tax authorities. This may also reduce the costs of administration of justice. The article presents the way of understanding the principle of providing solid arguments and is addressed primarily to the authorities deciding on tax cases.

Keywords: principle of providing solid arguments, procedural legal institutions, trust in state authorities, tax proceedings

Summary

of the article: **Principle of trust and principle of protection of legitimate expectations in tax law**

The article addresses the issue of two general principles of European administrative law – the principle of trust and the principle of legitimate expectations (legal certainty). The discussion begins with their origin and function. Both of these principles are hereinafter characterised in the context of domestic procedural administrative law. The legal regulations of general administrative and tax proceedings which implement the principle in question have been analysed. Examples of rulings issued by national administrative courts and the CJEU on the basis of the above-mentioned general principles are also provided. The authors intended to demonstrate that the principles should not be perceived only as abstract demands addressed to public administration authorities, but must be treated as legal norms, the application of which will have a specific dimension.

Keywords: general principles of European administrative law, principle of trust, principle of legitimate expectations, principle of legal certainty

Summary

of the article: **Basic VAT principles – practical implications for interpretation of the provisions**

The article addresses the issue of basic principles of common VAT system. The discussion begins with their function and origin. Individual principles are hereinafter described separately. Those include universality of taxation, taxation of consumption, multi-phase and single tax collection proportionality of tax to price, deductibility and neutrality, and prohibition of abuse of law. In each case, the legal regulations setting out the principle in question have been analysed. Attention is also drawn to selected practical problems faced by the Court of Justice of the European Union and national administrative courts in respect of individual principles. Finally, it has been concluded that the general principles of the common VAT system – although being the result of interpretation – are of fundamental importance in the process of interpreting VAT regulations, in particular those of individual Member States of the European Union.

Keywords: common system of VAT, principle of VAT neutrality, principle of VAT universality, taxation of consumption, abuse of law

Summary

of the article: **The way the general principles of tax law are presented in the draft of the new Tax Ordinance**

The General Tax Law Codification Commission, of which the Author was a member, has prepared a draft of the new Tax Ordinance. The draft suggests, inter alia, a completely new approach to general principles of tax law. Although the legislative process for the new Tax Ordinance has not yet been completed, the content of the draft is genuinely noteworthy. The article analyses the content of the new Tax Ordinance draft, indicating how the proposed regulations have changed in the course of the legislative process. It discusses the systematics of the proposed general principles and compares their list and content with the currently applicable ones. In the Author's opinion, the draft corresponded much better with the realities of the functioning of the fiscal system and the needs of taxpayers than the provisions of the currently applicable Tax Ordinance.

Keywords: General Tax Law Codification Commission, new Tax Ordinance, general principles of tax law, general principles of tax proceedings