

SPIS TREŚCI

STUDIA I ARTYKUŁY

<i>Prof. dr hab. Wojciech Chróścielewski (Uniwersytet Łódzki)</i>	
Zmiany w zakresie przepisów kodeksu postępowania administracyjnego i prawa o postępowaniu przed sądami administracyjnymi, które weszły w życie w 2011 r.	9
Summary	24
<i>Dr Anna Znamiec (sędzia NSA)</i>	
Gwarancje praw podatkownika na gruncie unormowań konstytucyjnych	26
Summary	40
<i>Dr Wojciech Piątek (Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu)</i>	
Zastrzeżenia stron do protokołu posiedzenia (art. 105 p.p.s.a.)	41
Summary	52
<i>Mgr Emil Kruk (asystent, Uniwersytet Marii Curie-Skłodowskiej w Lublinie)</i>	
Zbieg odpowiedzialności administracyjnej i karnej	53
Summary	61
<i>Mgr Andrzej Melezini (doktorant, Uniwersytet w Białymstoku)</i>	
<i>Mgr Dariusz Zalewski (radca prawny)</i>	
Właściwość organów kontroli skarbowej do wydania decyzji o odpowiedzialności byłych wspólników spółki	62
Summary	75
<u>Jerzy Świątkiewicz</u> 1925 – 2011	76

VARIA

Wyrok Sądu Konstytucyjnego Republiki Łotewskiej z dnia 7 października 2010 r., sprawa nr 2010-01-01 [dot. prawa do sądu] (przekład z j. angielskiego i opracowanie Kamil Strzpek)	79
---	----

ORZECZNICTWO

I. Trybunał Sprawiedliwości Unii Europejskiej (wybór i opracowanie: Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz)	
1. Wyrok ETS z dnia 7 września 2004 r., sprawa C – 127/02 <i>Landelijke Vereniging tot Behoud van de Waddenzee, Nederlandse Vereniging tot Bescherming van Vogels</i> przeciwko <i>Staatssecretaris van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij</i> , przy udziale: <i>Coöperatieve Producentenorganisatie van de Nederlandse Kokkelvisserij UA</i> , s. 93;	93
2. Wyrok TSUE z dnia 14 stycznia 2010 r., sprawa C-226/08 <i>Stadt Papenburg</i> przeciwko <i>Bundesrepublik Deutschland</i> , s. 99 [dot. oceny skutków oddziaływania planów i przedsięwzięć dla terenów zielonych]	99

II.	Europejski Trybunał Praw Człowieka (wybór i opracowanie: <i>Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>) Wyrok ETPC z dnia 19 kwietnia 2011 r. w sprawie <i>ELCOMP sp. z o.o. przeciwko Polsce</i> (skarga nr 37492/05) [dot. ograniczenia prawa do sądu]	102
III.	Trybunał Konstytucyjny (wybór: <i>Irena Chojnacka</i> , opracowanie: <i>Mieszko Nowicki</i>) 1. Wyrok TK z dnia 20 kwietnia 2011 r. sygn. akt Kp 7/09 [dot. przepisów prawa budowlanego znoszących pozwolenia na budowę i zastępujących je obowiązkiem zgłoszenia i rejestracji budowy oraz przepisów o legalizacji samowoli budowlanej]	105
	2. Wyrok TK z dnia 5 kwietnia 2011 r. sygn. akt P 26/09 [dot. sytuacji prawnej przedsiębiorcy w świetle zasad sprzedaży napojów alkoholowych osobom nieletnim]	115
IV.	Sąd Najwyższy (wybór: <i>Andrzej Wróbel</i> , opracowanie: <i>Dawid Miąsik</i>) Wyrok SN z dnia 9 marca 2011 r. sygn. akt III SK 37/10 [dot. prawa energetycznego – braku okoliczności wyłączającej możliwość zmiany decyzji o zatwierdzeniu taryfy]	120
V.	Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny i wojewódzkie sądy administracyjne A. Orzecznictwo Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego (wybór: <i>Stefan Babiarz</i> , opracowanie: <i>Marcin Wiącek</i>) 1. Uchwała składu siedmiu sędziów NSA z dnia 10 stycznia 2011 r. sygn. akt I OPS 4/10 [dot. skargi do sądu administracyjnego na orzeczenie dyscyplinarne o upomnieniu strażaka]	125
	2. Uchwała składu siedmiu sędziów NSA z dnia 15 czerwca 2011 r. sygn. akt I OPS 1/11 [dot. soboty jako dnia równorzędnego z dniem wolnym od pracy]	128
	B. Orzecznictwo wojewódzkich sądów administracyjnych (wybór: <i>Bogusław Gruszczyński</i> , opracowanie: <i>Marcin Wiącek</i>)	
	1. Wyrok WSA w Warszawie z dnia 27 kwietnia 2010 r. sygn. akt VIII SA/Wa 840/09 [dot. przesłanek tzw. ulgi rehabilitacyjnej w podatku dochodowym od osób fizycznych]	134
	2. Wyrok WSA w Rzeszowie z dnia 28 kwietnia 2010 r. sygn. akt II SA/Rz 384/09 [dot. skutków prawnych wyroku Trybunału Konstytucyjnego, w którym odroczone utrata mocy obowiązującej niekonstytucyjnego przepisu]	138
	3. Wyrok WSA w Gdańsku z dnia 10 czerwca 2010 r. sygn. akt III SA/Gd 114/10 [dot. sprostowania wpisu do ewidencji gruntów]	140
	4. Wyrok WSA w Białymstoku z dnia 25 sierpnia 2010 r. sygn. akt I SA/Bk 403/10 [dot. ustalania przez radę gminy warunków udzielania bonifikat przy sprzedaży lokalu mieszkalnych]	141
	5. Wyrok WSA w Lublinie z dnia 30 września 2010 r. sygn. akt II SA/Lu 353/10 [dot. możliwości uznania osoby pozostającej w związku małżeńskim za „osobę samotnie wychowującą dziecko” oraz skutków wyroku Trybunału Konstytucyjnego o tzw. pominięciu ustawodawczym]	143
VI.	Wnioski Prezesa NSA i pytania prawne sądów administracyjnych skierowane do Trybunału Konstytucyjnego (wybór i opracowanie: <i>Irena Chojnacka</i>)	
	1. Pytanie prawne WSA w Łodzi, postanowienie z dnia 9 marca 2011 r. sygn. akt II SA/Łd 1509/10 [dot. zmiany reżimu odpowiedzialności i braku gwarancji procesowych osoby, której postępowanie dotyczy]	
	2. Pytanie prawne NSA, postanowienie z dnia 5 kwietnia 2011 r. sygn. akt I PSK 525/10 [dot. zróżnicowania odpowiedzialności podatników ze względu na przesłankę powodującą zawieszenie lub przerwanie biegu terminu przedawnienia]	
	3. Pytanie prawne WSA w Krakowie, postanowienie z dnia 12 maja 2011 r. sygn. akt I SA/Kr 38/11 [dot. długości terminu zgłoszenia nabycia spadku, od którego zależy prawo do zwolnienia od podatku]	

4. Pytanie prawne WSA w Warszawie, postanowienie z dnia 12 maja 2011 r. sygn. akt I SA/Wa 41/11 [dot. zmiany przesłanek uznania nieruchomości za zbędną na cel określony w decyzji o wywłaszczeniu]

VII. Glosy

Prof. dr hab. Leonard Etel (Uniwersytet w Białymstoku)

Głos do wyroku TK z dnia 10 marca 2009 r. sygn. akt P 80/08) [dot. spółki cywilnej jako podatkowej]

Dr Józef Repel (Uniwersytet Wrocławski)

Głos do postanowienia TK z dnia 25 stycznia 2011 r. sygn. akt P 5/08

[dot. umorzenia postępowania ze względu na niedopuszczalność wyroku]

Dr hab. Joanna Sieńczyło-Chlabicz (profesor Uniwersytetu w Białymstoku)

Głos do wyroku Sądu Unii Europejskiej z dnia 18 marca 2010 r. w sprawie *Grupa Promer Mon Graphic S.A. przeciwko Urzędowi Harmonizacji Rynku Wewnętrzne* (OHIM) (T-9/07) [dot. unieważnienia wzoru wspólnotowego]

Mgr Katarzyna Gawrońska (doktorantka, Uniwersytet w Białymstoku)

Dr Piotr Pietrasz (adiunkt, Uniwersytet w Białymstoku)

Głos do uchwały NSA z dnia 17 stycznia 2011 r. sygn. akt II FPS 2/10

[dot. obowiązku prowadzenia ksiąg rachunkowych dla przychodów z działów specjalnych produkcji rolnej; podstawy prawnej ustalenia dochodu z tych działów]

KRONIKA

Kalendarium sądownictwa administracyjnego (maj–czerwiec 2011 r.) (opracował

Przemysław Florjanowicz-Błachut) 181

BIBLIOGRAFIA

I. Recenzje

Konrad Osajda, *Nacjonalizacja i reprivatyzacja*, Warszawa 2009

(rec. Marcin Wiącek) 193

II. Wykaz publikacji z zakresu postępowania administracyjnego

i sądownictwa administracyjnego (maj–czerwiec 2011 r.) (opracowała *Marta Jaszcukowa*) 198

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STUDIES AND ARTICLES

Professor Wojciech Chróścielewski, Ph.D. (Łódź University)

A amendments to the Administrative Procedure Code and the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts that came into force in 2011

Summary

Anna Znamiec, Ph.D. (judge of the SAC)

Guarantees of taxpayers' rights in the Constitution

Summary

Wojciech Piątek, Ph.D. (Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań)

Parties' reservations to the minutes of a hearing (Art. 105 of the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts)

Summary

Emil Kruk, M.Sc. (assistant, Marcia Curie-Skłodowska University in Lublin)

The concurrence of administrative and criminal liability

Summary

Andrzej Melezini, M.Sc. (doctoral student, Białystok University)

Dariusz Zalewski, M.Sc. (legal advisor)

The competence of a tax inspection authorities to issue a decision on holding a partnership's/company's former partners/shareholders liable

Summary

Jerzy Świątkiewicz 1925-2011

VARIA

The judgement of the Constitutional Court of the Latvian Republic (Latvijas Republikas Satversmes tiesa) dated 7 October 2010, No. 2010-01-01 [re. the right to appeal against a judgement of a court of appeal] (translated from English and prepared by Kamil Strzępek)

JUDICIAL DECISIONS

- I. **The European Court of Justice (selected and prepared by Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz)**
Assessing the influence of plans and projects on the sites of Community Importance ("SCIs") 1. (Judgement dated 7 September 2004, Case C-127/02: *Landelijke Vereniging tot Behoud van de Waddenzee (National Association for Conservation of the Waddenzee), Nederlandse Vereniging tot Bescherming van Vogels (Netherlands Association for the Protection of Birds) against Staatssecretaris van Landbouw, Natuurbeheer en Visserij (Secretary of State for Agriculture, Nature Conservation and Fisheries), interveners: Coöperatieve Producentenorganisatie van de Nederlandse Kokkeldvisserij UA (Cooperative*

<i>Producers' Association of Netherlands Cocker Fisheries</i> , OJ C 262, 23.10.2004, p. 2), p. 93; 2	
(Judgement dated 14 January 2010, Case C-226/08: <i>Stadt Papenburg (City of Papenburg) v Bundesrepublik Deutschland (The Federal Republic of Germany)</i> , (OJ C 63 of 13.03.2010, p. 5), p. 99	

II.	The European Court of Human Rights (selected and prepared by <i>Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>) Deprivation of the right of access to a court (judgement of the ECHR of 19 April 2011, application No. 37492/05, case of <i>Elcomp Sp. z o.o. v. Poland</i>)	
III.	The Constitutional Tribunal (selected by <i>Irena Chojnacka</i> , prepared by <i>Mieszko Nowicki</i>) 1. Provisions of the Construction Law replacing the construction permit with the obligation to notify and register the construction and the provisions on legalisation of buildings erected without a construction permit (judgement of 20 April 2011, files No. Kp 7/09)	
	2. The legal situation of an undertaking in the light of the principles of selling alcoholic beverages to juveniles (judgement of 5 April 2011, files No. P 26/09)	
IV.	The Supreme Court (selected by <i>Andrzej Wróbel</i> , prepared by <i>Dawid Miąsik</i>)	
	The Energy Law – the lack of the circumstances excluding the possibility of amending the decision approving a tariff (judgement of the Supreme Court of 9 March 2011, files No. III SK 37/10)	
V.	The Supreme Administrative Court and the Voivodship Administrative Courts	
A.	The judicial decisions of the Supreme Administrative Court (selected by <i>Stefan Babiarz</i> , prepared by <i>Marcin Wiącek</i>)	
	1. Resolution of seven judges of the Supreme Administrative Court of 10 January 2011 (files No. I OPS 4/10) [re. a complaint to an administrative courts against a disciplinary decision on admonishing a fireman]	
	2. Resolution of seven judges of the Supreme Administrative Court of 15 June 2011 (files No. I OPS 1/11) [re. a Saturday as an equivalent of a holiday]	
B.	The judicial decisions of the Voivodship Administrative Courts (selected by <i>Bożysława Gruszczynski</i> , prepared by <i>Marcin Wiącek</i>):	
	1. Judgement of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw of 27 April 2010 (files No. VIII SA/Wa 840/09) [re. the premises of the so-called rehabilitation relief in personal income tax]	
	2. Judgement of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Rzeszów of 28 April 2010 (files No. II SA/Rz 384/09) [re. the legal results of a judgement passed by the Constitutional Tribunal postponing the date on which an unconstitutional provision becomes ineffective]	
	3. Judgement of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Gdańsk of 10 June 2010 (files No. III SA/Gd 114/10) [re. correcting an error in the land register]	
	4. Judgement of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Białystok of 25 August 2010 (files No. I SA/Bk 403/10) [re. the municipal council determining the terms and conditions of granting a discount on the price of residential premises sold]	
	5. Judgement of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Lublin of 30 September 2010 (files No. I ISA/Lu 353/10) [re. the possibility of recognising a married person as a "single parent" and the results of the Constitutional Tribunal's judgement on the so-called "legislative omission"]	
VI.	The applications of the President of the SAC and the preliminary questions of the administrative courts to the Constitutional Tribunal (selected and prepared by <i>Irena Chojnacka</i>)	

1. The preliminary question from the VAC in Łódź, decision dated 9 March 2011 (files No. II SA/Łd 1509/10) [re. the changed regime of liability and lack of the procedural guarantees for the persons whom such proceedings concern]
2. The preliminary question from the SAC, decision dated 5 April 2011 (files No. IFSK 525/10) [re. the different liability of taxpayers due to the premise resulting in the suspension or discontinuation of the flow of the period of limitation]
3. The preliminary question from the VAC in Kraków, decision dated 21 May 2011 (files No. I SA/Kr 38/11) [re. the time limit to notify the taking over of inheritance determining the right of tax exemption]
4. The preliminary question from the VAC in Warsaw, decision dated 12 May 2011 (files No. I SA/Wa 41/11) [re. the premises of recognising a real property as redundant for the purpose specified in the expropriation decision]

VII. Glosses

Professor Leonard Etel, Ph.D. (Białystok University)

Gloss to the judgement of the Constitutional Tribunal of 10 March 2009 (files No. P 80/08)) [re. a partnership as a taxpayer]

Józef Repel, Ph.D. (Wrocław University)

Gloss to the decision of the Constitutional Tribunal of 25 January 2011 (files No. P 5/08) [re. the discontinuation of proceedings due to the inadmissibility of a judgement]

Joanna Sieńczyło-Chlabicz, Ph.D. (professor of the Białystok University)

Gloss to the judgement of the General Court (Fifth Chamber) Case T-9/07 Grupo Promotor Mon Graphics S.A. v. the Office for Harmonization of Internal Market [re. invalidation of a Community design]

Katarzyna Gawrońska, M.Sc. (doctoral student at the Białystok University)

Piotr Pietrasz, Ph.D. (assistant professor at the Białystok University)

Gloss to the resolution of the SAC dated 17 January 2011, files No. II FPS 2/10 [re. the obligation to keep the books for the revenues from the special sections of agricultural production and the legal basis of determining the income from these sections]

CHRONICLE

The schedule of events in the administrative jurisdiction (May – June 2011) (prepared by Przemysław Florjanowicz-Bładut)

BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. Reviews

Konrad Osajda, *Nationalisation and reprivatisation*. C.H. Beck Publishing House, Warsaw 2009 (review by Marcin Wiącek)

II. Publications

Publications in the area of the administrative procedure and the proceedings before administrative courts (May – June 2011) (prepared by Marta Jaszcukowa)

Summary

of the article: Amendments to the Administrative Procedure Code and the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts that came into force in 2011

The author of this article discusses the legislative amendments to the Administrative Procedure Code (the APC) and the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts (the LPBAC) introduced in 2011. After the period of relative stability, in particular in the case of the APC (which has been amended 19 times since its uniform text was promulgated in 2000), in 2011 the APC will be amended 5 times. It must be added that the amendment introduced by virtue of the Act Amending the Administrative Procedure Code and the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts dated 3 December 2010 (Journal of Laws of 2011, No. 6, item 18) introduced rather significant changes thereto. Their purpose was to modernise the regulation included in the APC. The tax procedure regulated in Section IV of the Tax Code dated 29 August 1997 (Journal of Laws of 2005, No. 8, item 60, as amended), based on the provisions of the APC on the general administrative procedure almost literally transferred thereto has become, due to many further amendments, the procedure more up-to-date and adapted to the present reality than the original procedure.

The author analyses all amendments to the provisions of the APC, in particular the substantial changes. First of all these include the explicit inclusion of the request to reconsider a case which may be submitted in connection with the decisions made in the first instance by a minister and a local government boards of appeal that have no superior authorities above them to the category of the usual remedies; introducing the new means of appeal in the form of a complaint on the excessive duration of administrative proceedings; the possibility of issuing a decision refusing to institute the proceedings when the request was submitted by an entity without a legal interest in the case; resignation from transferring a case on the grounds of competence in the form of a decision that may be complained against; introdu-

cing the possibility of supplementing by virtue of law the decision on the adjudication and advice on the available means of appeal as well as specifying the conditions for an appellate authority to issue a decision on cassation.

The most important amendment to the LPBAC consists in introducing the possibility to file a complaint against the excessive duration of proceedings in the matters referred to in Art. 3.2.1- 4a of the LPBAC

Summary

of the article: **Guarantees of taxpayers' rights in the Constitution**

The reasonable system of tax law being an element of the legal system should be consistent with the Constitution of the Republic of Poland, synchronised with the other branches of law as well as ensure a taxpayer's security. The guarantees of a taxpayer's security in the tax proceedings must be sought in the tax authorities' respect for the tax principles and in particular the principle of stability and certainty of the tax law, undoubtedly related to the principles of the citizens' trust in the tax authorities which covers not only the process of applying law (the tax proceedings) but also of adopting law (the legislative activities).

The certainty of law means rather the existence of the conditions for foreseeing the activities of the state authorities and the related activities of the citizens than the stability of the provisions of law which in this area of law in certain economic conditions of the state may be difficult to achieve. The predictability of the state activities understood so guarantees the trust in the legislator and the law it makes. It must be emphasised that the principle of certainty and stability of the tax law may not be interpreted in such a way that its application promotes tax evasion. Then it would result in denying the basic function of taxes i.e. their fiscal role. The basic function of the principle of stability and certainty of law is ensuring that the taxpayers and other parties e.g. the tax remitters and the tax collectors face an uncomplicated, clear and coherent system of tax law allowing them to perform their tax liabilities. The certainty of law means not only the absolute stability of tax law but rather the ability to foresee the activities of the tax authorities applying law.

The basic principles providing guarantees to a taxpayer include the principle of the exclusive statutory regulation in the tax law. Adopting the principle of the exclusive statutory regulation in the domain of the tax law means that the events when the tax laws include authorisations to issue the implementing regulations are determined predominantly by the wording of Art. 217 of the Constitution of the Republic of Poland.

Another principle providing a taxpayer with the "guarantee of security" is the principle of equality in law. The Constitutional Tribunal on many occasions emphasised that the principle of equality of law means that all subjects of law (the addressees of the legal norms) displaying a given material (relevant) trait to the same extent are to be treated equally i.e. the same, without discrimination or preference.

Summary

of the article: Parties' reservations to the minutes of a hearing (Art. 105 of the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts)

The existence of the adversary proceedings before administrative courts results in the joint responsibility of the parties thereto for the conduct of the trial. The prevailing principle of cooperation between the parties to the proceedings and the court is expressed in Art. 105 of the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts (the LPBAC) imposing on the parties the obligation to draw the court's attention to the violation of the procedural provisions by submitting a motion to enter a reservation in the minutes of the hearing.

Art. 105 of the LPBAC covers all procedural errors (*errores in procedendo*) both these most important and irrelevant. In principle, in the course of a trial it is difficult to determine whether the irregularity that has occurred will affect its final result. The scope of application of the discussed regulation includes the non-appealable and non-binding decisions of a 1st instance court which therefore may be changed in accordance with the current needs of the trial. Art. 105 of the LPBAC also applies to the irregularities in the court taking the procedural activities which are not decisions and which do not put the parties in the situation of uncertainty as to the court's further plans and intentions concerning the course of the trial. These irregularities include, among others, the defective service of process (Art. 65-81 of the LPBAC), setting a hearing on a date preventing a party from appearing in person (Art. 91.1 of the LPBAC), setting a hearing on a date preventing the parties from preparing to the hearing (Art. 91.2 of the LPBAC), incorrect designation of the time, purpose or the results of failure to appear at the hearing (Art. 93.2 2, 4, 5 of the LPBAC).

The reservations to the minutes of a hearing made by professional attorneys should specify in detail what in the party's opinion is the essence of the court's procedural violation, but the reservations made by the parties without legal expertise do not need to include in-depth legal argumentation enumerating all the elements of the violated legal norm. The court should also instruct the non-professional parties to the trial under Art. 6 of the LPBAC that they are entitled to make reservations.

At the same time in Art. 105 of the LPBAC the legislator provided for two exceptions to the rule of the obligatory notification of reservations to the minutes of a hearing. The first exception covers all the events when the proceedings are invalid (Art. 183.2 of the LPBAC) as they represent violations the court must take into account by virtue of law. The second exception is the lack of a party's fault in not making a reservation that must be substantiated. The lack of fault is in particular the concurrence of circumstances acting to the party's detriment, such as disability, considerable lack of skill and resources or absence justified by an event of force majeure.

The parties to the proceedings should make the fullest possible use of Art. 105 of the LPBAC. The obligation to notify reservations to the minutes, on the one hand strictly observed by the SAC, on the other understood as reasonably formalistic, might promote even deeper control over the functioning of public administration and responsibility of the parties to the trial for its course and result.

Summary

of the article: **The concurrence of administrative and criminal liability**

This article discusses the concurrence of administrative liability with the widely understood criminal liability. The need to discuss this subject is determined basically by the fact that the regulations usually do not include the solutions excluding the possibility of double punishment, which gives rise to the constitutional doubts. The fact that these doubts are not groundless is best evidenced by the exceptionally joint voices of the representatives of the legal doctrine, the Human Rights Defender and the Constitutional Tribunal, where the latter has already considered this problem on a few occasions. First, in 1998, when it concluded that the regulations, to the extent they permit imposing on the same person an administrative sanction and a punishment for a minor offence for the same action, are inconsistent with the constitutional principle of the democratic state of law and are the token of excessive fiscalism (case K. 17/97). Therefore it has become necessary to eliminate the relevant regulations from the legal order or create the appropriate norms of competence in order to avoid the competition between two or more rigours of liability of the similar functions in reference to the same action and the same entity. Satisfying the above postulate requires closer analysis of each event of restriction of a right or freedom, in particular by confronting the values and goods the relevant regulation protects with these that are restricted as a result thereof as well as by evaluating the method of implementing the restriction. Obviously, the legislator's decision on giving priority to certain norms should be preceded by the systemic divagations and a certain hint in this respect might be the principle of the subsidiary nature of the criminal law. Although the dynamics of the social changes and the number of the solutions and means at the legislator's disposal hinder optimising such type of decisions, but it must be remembered that the legislator's inappropriate (in relation to the qualities of the object of regulation) selection of the instruments of impact results in the destruction of law as the measure of social control.

Summary

of the article: The competence of a tax inspection authorities to issue a decision on holding a partnership's/company's former partners/shareholders liable

A tax inspection in a liquidated company has given rise to many doubts in the practice of the tax authorities and the tax inspection authorities. The prevailing laws and regulations do not provide for the possibility of carrying out such inspection which does not mean that

in specific circumstances the former shareholders or members of the management boards of the liquidated companies will not be held liable for the outstanding tax liabilities that were not paid when the company was in existence. One of the material problems related to the issue in question is liquidation of a partnership (established both under the Civil Code and the Partnerships and Companies Code) in the course of the tax proceedings conducted by the tax authorities and the tax inspection authorities. In such event the tax inspectors will have to effectively end the initiated proceedings and take the decision on instituting separate proceedings against all the partners of the liquidated partnership in order to decide on holding them liable for the outstanding tax liabilities under Art. 115.4 of the Tax Code dated 29 August 1997.

Such decision in the case seems to be obvious in the case of the tax authorities. The practice of the tax inspection authorities so far has been inconsistent, mainly due to the interpretation doubts concerning their competence to make the decision under Art. 115.4 of the Tax Code. The judicial decisions of the administrative courts initially recognised the tax inspection authorities as lacking capacity to issue such decisions. Two judgements passed by the Supreme Administrative Court following the analysis of the problem started a new line of adjudication rendering it possible. However, this line has not become generally approved. Most of the tax inspection authorities still do not issue decisions in the said procedure, ending the proceedings with the inspection result and transferring the case to the territorially competent director of the tax office. In the event the taxpayers complain about such decision, the administrative courts accept the said procedure in their decisions. As a result there are significant differences concerning the evaluation of the proper form in which the tax inspection authorities should end the proceedings, also in the judicial decisions of the administrative courts, which should not be the case.

In order to remove the existing discrepancies in the judicature, it should be procured under Art. 15.1.2 and 15.1.3. of the Law on Proceedings Before Administrative Courts of 30 August 2002 that the Supreme Administrative Court adopts a resolution aimed at clarifying the interpretation of Art. 115 of the Tax Code and determining whether it may be applied also by the tax inspection authorities.