

NACZELNY SĄD ADMINISTRACYJNY

ZESZYTY NAUKOWE

Sądownictwa

_____ Administracyjnego _____

dwumiesięcznik

rok XV nr 2 (83)/2019

Warszawa 2019

WYDAWCA
Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny

KOMITET REDAKCYJNY

REDAKCJA

REDAKTOR NACZELNY prof. dr hab. Janusz Trzcíński
ZASTĘPCA REDAKTORA NACZELNEGO prof. dr hab. Andrzej Gomułowicz
SEKRETARZ REDAKCJI mgr Małgorzata Sawicka-Jezierczuk (e-mail: msawicka@nsa.gov.pl)

RADA PROGRAMOWA

CZŁONKOWIE

prof. dr hab. Barbara Adamiak, dr Stefan Babiarczyk, prof. dr hab. Wojciech Chróścielewski,
dr hab. Jacek Chleby, mgr Irena Chojnacka, prof. dr hab. Roman Hauser,
dr Andrzej Kisielewicz, prof. dr hab. Andrzej Skoczylas, sędzia NSA Maria Wiśniewska,
prof. dr hab. Zbigniew Witkowski, prof. dr hab. Andrzej Wróbel,
prof. dr hab. Marek Zirk-Sadowski

MIĘDZYNARODOWI CZŁONKOWIE

Dr., Dr. h.c. mult. Eckart Hien, Dr. Univ.-Prof., Clemens Jabloner,
Prof. JUDr Jan Filip, Sędzia Olof Olsson, Prof. Dr. Dr.h.c.mult. Rainer Arnold,
Prof. dr Georges Ravarani, Prof. dr hab. Virgilijus Valančius

*

redaktor tematyczny dr hab. Wojciech Piątek
redaktor językowy mgr Justyna Woldańska
redaktor statystyczny dr Michał Szwast

ADRES REDAKCJI

Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny
00-011 Warszawa, ul. G.P. Boduena 3/5
tel. 22 551-67-25, e-mail: msawicka@nsa.gov.pl; www.nsa.gov.pl/zeszyty-naukowe.php

© Copyright by Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny
Warszawa 2019

ISSN 1734-803X
Nr indeksu 204358

„Zeszyty Naukowe Sądownictwa Administracyjnego” znajdują się w wykazie czasopism
punktowanych przez Ministerstwo Nauki i Szkolnictwa Wyższego.
Liczba punktów za publikację wynosi 8.

Wersją podstawową (referencyjną) czasopisma jest wersja papierowa.



Wolters Kluwer Polska Sp. z o.o.
01-208 Warszawa, ul. Przyokopowa 33
www.wolterskluwer.pl

Dyrektor Działu Publikacji Periodycznych: Klaudia Szawłowska-Milczarek
klaudia.szawłowska@wolterskluwer.com

Szczegółowe informacje o prenumeracie czasopism można uzyskać
pod numerem infolinii: tel. 801 044 545, prenumerata@wolterskluwer.pl
Obsługa Klienta: tel. 22 535 82 72, księgarnia internetowa: www.profinfo.pl

Skład i łamanie: Andytex, Warszawa
Druk ukończono w kwietniu 2019 roku. Nakład 1000 egz.

SPIS TREŚCI

STUDIA I ARTYKUŁY

<i>Prof. dr hab. Hubert Izdebski (SWPS Uniwersytet Humanistycznospołeczny, Warszawa)</i>	
U progu odrodzonego sądownictwa II Rzeczypospolitej	9
Summary	21
<i>Prof. dr hab. Andrzej Skoczylas (Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu)</i>	
Przedmiot ochrony w postępowaniu kasacyjnym a kwestia pozbawienia strony	
możliwości obrony jej praw. Wybrane zagadnienia	23
Summary	31
Materiały z rozstrzygnięcia I edycji konkursu na najlepszą pracę magisterską obronioną w terminie od 1 października 2017 r. do 30 października 2018 r., zorganizowanego przez Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny w 2018 r.	
Sprawozdanie z organizacji i przebiegu konkursu (dr Michał Kowalski, mgr Marta	
Szustkiewicz)	33
<i>Mgr Dagmara Dragan (doktorantka, Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu)</i>	
Charakter prawny decyzji o środowiskowych uwarunkowaniach realizacji	
przedsięwzięcia [I nagroda w konkursie]	36
Summary	50
<i>Mgr Marek Słupczewski (Uniwersytet Mikołaja Kopernika w Toruniu)</i>	
Orzecznictwo polskich sądów administracyjnych w zakresie dobrej wiary w prawie	
podatkowym [I nagroda w konkursie]	51
Summary	65

VARIA

<i>Dr hab. Agnieszka Krawczyk (profesor, Uniwersytet Łódzki)</i>	
Wewnętrzna organizacja sądów administracyjnych pierwszej instancji w Polsce,	
Niemczech i Austrii. Sprawozdanie z międzynarodowej konferencji sędziów sądów	
administracyjnych (Wojewódzki Sąd Administracyjny w Warszawie, 22 października 2018 r.) ...	67

ORZECZNICTWO

I. Trybunał Sprawiedliwości Unii Europejskiej (wybór i opracowanie: prof. Andrzej	
Wróbel, mgr Piotr Wróbel)	
Odesłanie prejudycjalne – Podatki – Podatek od wartości dodanej (VAT) –	
Dyrektywa 2006/112/WE – Artykuł 143 ust. 1 lit. d/ – Zwolnienia z VAT z tytułu	
przywozu – Przywóz, po którym nastąpiło wewnątrzwspólnotowe przemieszczenie	

– Późniejsza dostawa wewnątrzwspólnotowa – Oszustwo podatkowe – Odmowa zwolnienia – Przesłanki Wyrok TS z dnia 14 lutego 2019 r. w sprawie C-531/17 <i>Vetsch Int. Transporte</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:114	73
II. Europejski Trybunał Praw Człowieka (wybór i opracowanie: <i>dr Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>) Pozbawienie podatnika prawa do odliczenia VAT, w przypadku gdy kontrahenci podatnika są oszustami, a podatnik wywiązuje się ze swoich obowiązków podatkowych, stanowi naruszenie prawa własności z art. 1 Protokołu nr 1 do Konwencji Wyrok ETPC z dnia 14 czerwca 2018 r. w sprawie <i>Euromak Metal DOO przeciw Republice Macedonii</i> (skarga nr 68039/14)	81
III. Trybunał Konstytucyjny (wybór: <i>mgr Irena Chojnacka</i> , opracowanie: <i>mgr Mieszko Nowicki</i>) Wyrok TK z dnia 18 grudnia 2018 r. (sygn. akt SK 27/14) [dot. uzależnienia uprawnienia do uzyskania informacji publicznej przetworzonej od wykazania szczególnego znaczenia dla interesu publicznego]	84
IV. Sąd Najwyższy (wybór i opracowanie: <i>mgr Michalina Szpyrka</i>) 1. Wyrok SN z dnia 19 czerwca 2018 r. (sygn. akt I CSK 507/17) [dot. uzależnienia wysokości odszkodowania za utratę nieruchomości na podstawie wadliwej decyzji opartej na dekrecie z 1945 r. od stanu nieruchomości w chwili wydania tej decyzji] 2. Postanowienie SN z dnia 3 października 2018 r. (sygn. akt II PK 153/17) [dot. pytań prejudycjalnych do TSUE w związku z obniżeniem wieku emerytalnego sędziów]	93 94
V. Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny i wojewódzkie sądy administracyjne A. Orzecznictwo Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego (opracowanie: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiącek</i>) Uchwała składu siedmiu sędziów NSA z dnia 17 grudnia 2018 r. (sygn. akt I OPS 2/18) [dot. skargi do sądu administracyjnego na postanowienie SKO o odmowie przywrócenia terminu do złożenia wniosku dot. aktualizacji opłaty rocznej z tytułu użytkowania wieczystego] B. Orzecznictwo wojewódzkich sądów administracyjnych (wybór: <i>prof. Andrzej Gomułowicz</i> , opracowanie: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiącek</i>) 1. Wyrok WSA w Warszawie z dnia 15 lutego 2016 r. (sygn. akt II SA/Wa 1354/15) [dot. udostępniania decyzji uchylającej zakaz zatrudnienia u przedsiębiorcy osoby po zaprzestaniu pełnienia przez nią funkcji publicznej] 2. Wyrok WSA w Poznaniu z dnia 25 maja 2016 r. (sygn. akt I SA/Po 409/16) [dot. kwalifikacji podatkowej przychodu z tytułu oddania komórek ludzkich] 3. Wyrok WSA w Gorzowie Wielkopolskim z dnia 14 czerwca 2018 r. (sygn. akt II SA/Go 322/18) [dot. niedopuszczalności modyfikowania w statutach sołectw zasad ustawowych wyboru sołtysa i rady sołeckiej] 4. Wyrok WSA w Kielcach z dnia 27 czerwca 2018 r. (sygn. akt II SA/Ke 273/18) [dot. udostępnienia inwestorowi terenu pod wiaduktem] 5. Wyrok WSA w Krakowie z dnia 10 lipca 2018 r. (sygn. akt III SA/Kr 466/18) [dot. głosowania imiennego w radzie gminy] 6. Wyrok WSA w Warszawie z dnia 1 sierpnia 2018 r. (sygn. akt VII SA/Wa 2622/17) [dot. kosztów związanych z dyspozycją usunięcia pojazdu]	103 108 110 112 113 114 115
VI. Głosy <i>Prof. dr hab. Barbara Adamiak (Uniwersytet Wrocławski)</i> Głosa do postanowienia Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego z dnia 27 lutego 2018 r. (sygn. akt I OSK 256/18) [dot. niedopuszczalności odrębnej skargi na bezczynność do sądu administracyjnego w przedmiocie skierowania do komisji lekarskiej. Skierowanie nie stanowi aktu lub czynności]	117

<i>Mgr Kacper Żychliński (doktorant, Uniwersytet im. Adama Mickiewicza w Poznaniu)</i> Glosa do wyroku Naczelnego Sądu Administracyjnego z dnia 7 czerwca 2018 r. (sygn. akt II FSK 1610/16) [dot. rozumienia pojęcia „niezgodność z prawem” w ustawie o postępowaniu egzekucyjnym w administracji]	125
---	------------

SĄDOWNICTWO ADMINISTRACYJNE W EUROPIE

<i>Dr Stefan Babiarczy (sędzia, Naczelny Sąd Administracyjny)</i> Opodatkowanie nabycia spadku i darowizny w Polsce i w Niemczech. Wybrane problemy	133
Summary	157

KRONIKA

Kalendarium sądownictwa administracyjnego (styczeń – luty 2019 r.) (opracowała dr Anna Rossmanith)	159
---	------------

BIBLIOGRAFIA

I. Recenzje	
Łukasz Prus, <i>Ochrona uzasadnionych oczekiwań jednostki jako zasada ogólna europejskiego prawa administracyjnego</i> , Wrocław 2018, stron 264 (rec. dr hab. Joanna Wegner)	167
II. Wykaz publikacji z zakresu postępowania administracyjnego i sądowoadministracyjnego (styczeń – luty 2019 r.) (opracowała mgr Marta Jaszcukowa) ...	173

TABLE OF CONTENTS

STUDIES AND PAPERS

<i>Prof. dr hab. Hubert Izdebski (SWPS University of Social Sciences and Humanities, Warsaw)</i>	
In the wake of the reborn judiciary of the Second Polish Republic	9
Summary	21
 <i>Prof. dr hab. Andrzej Skoczylas (Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań)</i>	
The subject of protection in cassation proceedings, and the issue of depriving a party of the possibility of defending its rights	23
Summary	31
 Materials from the verdict of the 1 st edition of the contest for the best MA thesis defended from 1 October 2017 to 30 October 2018, organised by the Supreme Administrative Court in 2018.	
Report on the organisation of the contest and its procedure (<i>dr Michał Kowalski, mgr Marta Szustkiewicz</i>)	33
 <i>Mgr Dagmara Dragan (doctoral candidate, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań)</i>	
The legal nature of the decision on the environmental conditions of venture realization [1st award in the contest]	36
Summary	50
 <i>Mgr Marek Słupczewski (Nicolaus Copernicus University in Toruń)</i>	
Case-law of Polish administrative courts on good faith in tax law [1st award in the contest]	51
Summary	65

VARIA

<i>Dr hab. Agnieszka Krawczyk (profesor, University of Łódź)</i>	
Internal organisation of first-instance administrative courts in Poland, Germany and Austria. Report from the international conference of administrative court judges, Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw, 22 October 2018	67

CASE-LAW

I. Court of Justice of the European Union (selected and prepared by: prof. Andrzej Wróbel, mgr Piotr Wróbel)
Reference for a preliminary ruling – Taxation – Value added tax (VAT) – Directive 2006/112/CE – Article 143(1)(d) – Exemptions from VAT on importation – Imports

followed by an intra-Community transfer – Subsequent intra-Community supply – Tax evasion – Refusal of the exemption – Conditions Judgment of the Court of 14 February 2019, C-531/17, <i>Vetsch Int. Transporte</i> , ECLI:EU:C:2019:114	73
II. European Court of Human Rights (selected and prepared by: <i>dr Agnieszka Wilk-Ilewicz</i>)	
Depriving a tax payer the right to deduct VAT when the tax payer's counter-parties have committed fraud, while the tax payer meets their tax obligations, violates the right to property provided for by Article 1 of Protocol 1 to the Convention. ECtHR judgment of 14 June 2018 <i>Euromak Metal DOO v. Republic of Macedonia</i> (Application No. 68039/14)	81
III. Constitutional Tribunal (selected by: <i>mgr Irena Chojnacka</i> , prepared by: <i>mgr Mieszko Nowicki</i>)	
Judgment of the Constitutional Tribunal of 18 December 2018 (Case No. SK 27/14) [regarding making the right to obtain public information processed contingent upon proving particular significance for public interest]	84
IV. Supreme Court (selected and prepared by: <i>mgr Michalina Szpyrka</i>)	
1. Judgment of the Supreme Court of 19 June 2018 (Case No. I CSK 507/17) [regarding making the compensation for the loss of real property due to a defective decision issued pursuant to the decree of 1945 contingent upon the condition of such property at the moment of issuing the decision]	93
2. Order of the Supreme Court of 3 October 2018 (Case No. II PK 153/17) [regarding questions referred for a preliminary ruling to the CJEU in relation to lowering the retirement age of judges]	94
V. Supreme Administrative Court and voivodship administrative courts	
A. Case-law of the Supreme Administrative Court (prepared by: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiqcek</i>) Resolution of the panel of seven judges of the Supreme Administrative Court of 17 December 2018 (Case No. I OPS 2/18) [regarding the appeal to the administrative court against the decision of the local self-government appellate board (SKO) refusing to reinstate the time-limit for filing a request concerning an annual fee for perpetual usufruct]	103
B. Case law of voivodship administrative courts (selected by: <i>prof. Andrzej Gomułowicz</i> , prepared by: <i>dr hab. Marcin Wiqcek</i>)	
1. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw of 15 February 2016 (Case No. II SA/Wa 1354/15) [regarding access to the decision setting aside the prohibition to employ a person by a business owner after such person ceases to hold a public function]	108
2. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Poznań of 25 May 2016 (Case No. I SA/Po 409/16) [regarding the tax recognition of revenue for donating human cells]	110
3. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Gorzów Wielkopolski of 14 June 2018 (Case No. II SA/Go 322/18) [regarding the unacceptability of modifying the statutory principles governing the election of village heads and village councils by means of the village statute]	112
4. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Kielce of 27 June 2018 (Case No. II SA/Ke 273/18) [regarding making land under a flyover available to an investor]	113
5. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Kraków of 10 July 2018 (Case No. III SA/Kr 466/18) [regarding roll-call voting in a commune council]	114
6. Judgment of the Voivodship Administrative Court in Warsaw of 1 August 2018 (Case No. VII SA/Wa 2622/17) [on the costs related to the instruction to remove a vehicle]	115

VI. Notes

Prof. dr hab. Barbara Adamiak (University of Wrocław)

Note to the decision of the Supreme Administrative Court of 27 February 2018 (Case No. I OSK 256/18) [regarding the inadmissibility of filing a separate complaint on the failure to act before the administrative court with respect to a referral to the medical committee as the referral is not an act or an action]	117
---	-----

Mgr Kacper Żychliński (doctoral candidate, Adam Mickiewicz University in Poznań)

Note to the judgment of the Supreme Administrative Court of 7 June 2018 (Case No. II FSK 1610/16) [regarding the meaning of 'unlawful' in the act on enforcement proceedings in the administration]	125
--	-----

ADMINISTRATIVE JUDICIARY IN EUROPE

Dr Stefan Babiarz (judge, Supreme Administrative Court)

Inheritance and gift taxes in Poland and Germany. Selected issues	133
Summary	157

CHRONICLES

Chronicle of administrative judiciary (January–February 2019) (compiled by <i>dr Anna Rossmannith</i>)	159
---	-----

BIBLIOGRAPHY

I. Reviews

<i>Łukasz Prus, Ochrona uzasadnionych oczekiwań jednostki jako zasada ogólna europejskiego prawa administracyjnego [Protection of legitimate expectations of an individual as a general principle of European administrative law], Wrocław 2018, 264 pages</i> (reviewed by <i>dr hab. Joanna Wegner</i>)	167
---	-----

II. List of publications on administrative proceedings and administrative court proceedings (January–February 2019) (prepared by: <i>mgr Marta Jaszcukowa</i>)	173
--	-----

Summary

of the article: **In the wake of the reborn judiciary of the Second Polish Republic**

This is the text of a lecture delivered to commemorate the centenary of regaining independence – on the eve of the 100th anniversary of the establishment of the Provisional People's Government of the Republic of Poland in Lublin. In the socialist tradition before the World War II the date of formation of this government was the date of regaining independence, although another date gradually gained prominence: 11 November 1918 – the date of the end of the World War I in the West and, at the same time, the day when Józef Piłsudski, freed by the Germans, returned to Poland and was entrusted by the Regency Council of the Kingdom of Poland, formed by the German and Austro-Hungarian occupying forces, with military power (and, after three days – with full power). The date of 11 November, which has been the National Independence Day since 1989, did not become the official Independence Day until 1937. However, the formation of the institutions of the reborn Polish state on the territory of the Kingdom of Poland began much earlier – first within the framework of civic institutions created spontaneously after the outbreak of the war with the consent of the authorities of Russia, of which the Kingdom was part, then the institutions of the 'Polish Kingdom', established and developed gradually under the control of the new occupation authorities. Among those institutions an important role was played, as from 1 September 1917, by the judiciary of the Polish Kingdom, with the Supreme Court operating since 14 December 1917. Various difficulties in the creation of judicial institutions and their subsequent development in the territories acquired by the reborn Polish state, as well as the difficulties in their unification, are addressed. As far as the Supreme Court is concerned, unification took place as early as in 1919; however, as regards common courts, it did not take place until 1929. Administrative courts developed separately. The establishment of the Supreme Administrative Tribunal in 1922, which was a temporary solution, was of fundamental importance; however, even on this basis this branch of the judiciary was not unified in the Second Republic as the system of lower instance judicial authorities in the former Prussian partition was maintained.

Keywords: rebirth of the Polish state, Second Polish Republic, common courts, Supreme Court, Supreme Administrative Tribunal

Summary

of the article: **The subject of protection in cassation proceedings, and the issue of depriving a party of the possibility of defending its rights**

This paper concerns selected elements of protection of the objective legal order in cassation proceedings before the Supreme Administrative Court (SAC). This issue is of key importance in terms of research on the instances of administrative court proceedings. In this respect, the most important issue is the possibility to overturn the judgment of the court of first instance in cassation proceedings on grounds of invalidity of the proceedings. The value which is protected by the institution of invalidity of proceedings is the rule of law of administrative court proceedings. As the prerequisites for invalidity in cassation proceedings are taken into consideration *ex officio* by the SAC, the question arises as to whether the plea that a party (participant in the proceedings) is deprived of the possibility of defending its rights in administrative court proceedings may be formulated as the ground for a cassation appeal by any party, or only by an entity whose rights have been potentially infringed. This article supports the position of the SAC that a cassation appeal cannot effectively invoke such an infringement against other participants in court proceedings.

Keywords: administrative court proceedings, administrative courts, Supreme Administrative Court, deprivation of the possibility to defend rights, party to the proceedings, cassation appeal

Summary

of the article: **The legal nature of the decision on the environmental conditions of venture realization**

Decision on the environmental conditions is an institution regulated by the provisions of the Act of 3 October 2008 on sharing information about the environment and its protection, public participation in environmental protection and environmental impact assessments (Dz.U. [Journal of Laws] from 2018 item 2081 as amended). This decision plays a very important role in the investment process. First of all, the possibility of issuing other administrative acts, in particular decisions on building permit or planning permission, depends on obtaining this decision. The aim of this institution is to determine possible negative effects on the environment related to the implementation of projects. The proceedings regarding the issue of an environmental decision are complex, multi-stage proceedings, requiring the activity of both the entity planning the project implementation and the local community. Obtaining a decision on environmental conditions is for the investor one of the first stages of preparation of the planned investment and plays a very important role, because it affects not only the conditions of its location or characteristics, but above all determines the possibility of its implementation.

This article attempts to determine the legal character of decisions on environmental conditions as an administrative act, indicating the basic features of this decision, based on the division of administrative acts introduced in the literature. The article also contains an analysis of the provisions regarding the content and justification of environmental decisions, including in particular the obligations that an administrative authority may impose on the investor in the framework of this decision, taking into account practical problems arising from the application of these provisions. The article also describes the effects that may be caused by issuing a decision on environmental conditions both for the public administration bodies, as well as for the investor or designer of a construction object.

Key words: decision on the environmental conditions, environmental impact assessment, environmental conditions of venture realization

Summary

of the article: **Case law of Polish administrative courts on good faith in tax law**

The paper forms a substantial part of chapter III “The case law of Polish administrative courts” of the author’s master thesis.

In the course of the argumentation, the assumptions underlying individual judgments of Polish administrative courts are presented, providing examples of interesting jurisprudence concepts of the Polish administrative courts in the field of good faith. In most cases, an additional factor in choosing specific judgments was the fact that they had not yet been analysed more widely by the doctrine.

The paper primarily deals with the issue whether the case law of Polish administrative courts regarding good faith complies with the judgments of the Court of Justice of the European Union. To organize the argumentation, a concept was adopted to present the main assumptions underlying the individual rulings of Polish administrative courts, and juxtaposing these assumptions each time with the position of the Court of Justice of the European Union presented in individual judgments.

The argumentation also seeks to find which of the rulings had the greatest bearing on Polish case law. The CJEU judgment of 21 June 2012 in joined cases C-80/11 and C-142/11 *Mahagében Kft v Nemzeti Adó- és Vámhivatal Dél-dunántúli Regionális Adó Főigazgatósága*¹ and the CJEU judgment of 22 October 2015 in case C-277/14 *PPUH Stehcamp sp.j. Florian Stefanek, Janina Stefanek, Jarosław Stefanek v Dyrektor Izby Skarbowej w Łodzi*² may be considered to be such a ruling.

The paper concludes with a summary containing the main findings on the compliance of Polish rulings with the position of the CJEU, which logically follows from the presented argumentation. The main findings include the conclusion that the case law based on the rulings of Polish administrative courts in the area of good faith, shows a number of differences in relation to the position of the CJEU, such as the excessive rigorism of the Polish administrative courts in tax cases, attempts to shift the burden of proving the absence of good faith to the taxpayer, or unreasonably requesting that the taxpayer carry out a general inspection of each contracting party. When comparing the indications resulting from the Polish case law, that are intended to prove the existence or non-existence of good faith on the part of the taxpayer, one should note that the requirements of national authorities and courts are not very often justified by the case law based on the CJEU judgments. This proves that there is a significant discrepancy between the case law of the CJEU and the practice of the Polish courts.

All of the arguments presented in the paper lead to the conclusion that, as a rule, the body of case law resulting from the judgments of the CJEU is not sufficiently reflected in the practice of Polish tax authorities and administrative courts.

Keywords: good faith, tax law, Court of Justice of the European Union

¹ ECLI:EU:C:2012:373.

² ECLI:EU:C:2015:719.

Summary

of the article: **Inheritance and gift taxes in Poland and Germany. Selected issues**

The following conclusions can be drawn from the comparison of structural elements of the tax structure, the Polish and German act on inheritance and gift tax:

- there are no significant differences in the structure of the taxpayer and the subject of taxation (and the existing ones are related to different civil law solutions – a gift in the event of death, a succession contract),
- difference is in the taxation of succession, not provided for in Polish civil law,
- moment when the tax obligation arises is differently shaped, because in the German law, taxable income (retention) is already taxed,
- in the scope of determining the taxable base, the German law uses a flat-rate solution allowing the application of the principle that the tax rate would be as low as possible,
- the German Act does not apply, contrary to Poland, a broad subjective exemption, and in the case of acquisition of an enterprise (current assets), it also does not always provide for a total exemption,
- in the German Law on inheritance and gift tax, thresholds have been defined in a differentiated way, taking into account not only the inclusion in the tax group,
- in both laws, instrumental duties have been regulated differently, as it seems the German law is not as strict as Polish in this respect.

In general, the solution covering the subject matter of this tax of any (in principle) cases of gratuitous acquisition of property and property rights is considered favorable for taxpayer of inheritance and gift taxes in Poland.

Keywords: inheritance and gift taxes in Poland; inheritance and gift taxes in Germany, subsequent succession and inheritance and gift taxes in Germany, taxation on repeated acquisition of the same property in Germany, the offsetting of foreign inheritance and gift taxes, activity-based exemptions, person-based exemptions, tax groups